

## ISA Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form

Client _			Date			Tir	me					
	/Tree location											
	ecies dbh											
Assesso	r(s)Time	frame		Tools used_								
	Target As	ssessment										
Target number	Target description			Target within drip line	Target paget page to within 1 x Ht.		Occupancy rate 1-rare 2-occasional 3-frequent 4-constant	Practical to move target?	Restriction practical?			
1												
2					<u> </u>							
3					<u> </u>			ļ				
4	Cit. F											
	Site Factorial Site F				_							
Prevailir Vigor Lo Pests	ditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted Ingwind direction Common weather Strong winds Ice  Tree Health an  Ow Normal High Foliage None (seasonal)  Afailure profile Branches Trunk Roots Describe	e□ Snow□ Ho d Species Prof None (dead)□ Noiotic	eavy rain ile Norma	Describe	Chloro	tic	% Ne					
	Load	Factors										
Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☐ Full ☐ Wind funneling ☐ Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium ☐ Large ☐ Crown density Sparse ☐ Normal ☐ Dense ☐ Interior branches Few ☐ Normal ☐ Dense ☐ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss ☐ Recent or planned change in load factors Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure												
	— Crown and	Branches -							$\overline{}$			
De Br Ov <b>Pr</b> Cr Re Fl	nbalanced crown □ LCR% Cracked twigs/branches □% overall Max. dia Codo token/Hangers Number Max. dia Weal ver-extended branches □ Previouning history rown cleaned □ Thinned □ Raised □ Dead toked □ Topped □ Lion-tailed □ Conked Conked □ Topped □ Conked □ Conked Conked □ Topped □ Conked □ Conked Conked □ Topped □ Conked	ak attachments □ Cavity/Nest hole% circ vious branch failures □ Similar branches present □ ad/Missing bark □ Cankers/Galls/Burls □ Sapwood damage/decay □ hks □ Heartwood decay □ ponse growth										
\	pad on defect N/A □ Minor □ Moderate □ S kelihood of failure Improbable □ Possible □ Probable □ I											
Ccc Sa Lig Ca Le Re M —	— Trunk —  Pad/Missing bark □ Abnormal bark texture/color □  Padominant stems □ Included bark □ Cracks □  Powood damage/decay □ Cankers/Galls/Burls □ Sap ooze □  Pathning damage □ Heartwood decay □ Conks/Mushrooms □  Povity/Nest hole % circ. Depth Poor taper □  Pan ° Corrected?  Pasponse growth  Pad on defect N/A □ Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □  Relihood of failure	Dead  Ooze  Cracks  Root plat	ried/Not Cut/E e lifting [ e growth acern(s) _	N/A  Mino	epth ( % cii s	Conks/ rc. stance kness I	_ Stem gi 'Mushrooms from trunk □		- - -			

Risk Categorization																									
										Likelihood															
Condition number						"	ber		Failure				Impact				Failure & Impac				Consequences				
n n					a)	Fall distance	number		ا ا ه								(from Matrix 1			Ė			<b>.</b>	П	Risk
ditio			Condition		Part size	dist	et	Target	Improbable	ple	Probable	Imminent	<u> </u>		<u>E</u>		ely.	Somewhat		Very likely	gible	r	Significant	ē	rating of part
Con	Tree pa	art	of concer		Part	Fall	Target	protection	Impr	Possible	Prob	Imm	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Some	Likely	Very	Negligible	Minor	Signi	Severe	(from Matrix 2)
	·						<u> </u>					Г				Г									
1													Г				Г	T	T					П	
		+											Г				Г		Т					П	
2													Г				Г							П	
				Ì																					
													Г				Г				П			П	
3				ŀ									Г				H				Г			Ħ	
													Т												
													Г				Г				П			П	
4				İ									Г				Г	T			Г				
M-4						•														•		_			
	rix I . Likel	n booni									-														
of Failure Very low Low				Medium		High			-	+				_			+								
		Unlike			-	Likely	•	Very likely			-	+				_		-	+			_	_		
	<b>Probable</b> Un		<del>-                                    </del>		Somewhat likely			Likely			_														
		Unlike Unlike	-		<del></del>	Unlikely So		Somewhat like Unlikely	ly																
			· ·	кету		Officery		Offlikely			-														
Matrix 2. Risk rating matrix.  Likelihood of Consequences of Failure									-																
	Failure & Impact		Negligible	Min			ficant				-												_		
Very likely		ly	Low	Mode	rate	<del></del>		Extreme			-	+			+	_							_		
Likely		:lanka	Low	<del>i                                      </del>	Moderate High			High														No	orth		
Somewhat likely Unlikely			Low	<del>†                                      </del>	Low Moderate			Moderate Low																	
	•																								
Not	es, expla	nation	s, descripti	ons																					
									_											\					
													J			-				\					
									_																
Mitigation options																				F	Resid	lual	risk	·	
																				F	Resid	lual	risk	·	
																				F	Resid	lual	risk	·	
																				F	Resid	lual	risk	·	
Overall tree risk rating Low □ Moderate □ High □ Extreme □										Work priority 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □															
Ove	rall resid	lual ris	<b>k</b> Low	□ Мо	derate	е 🗆 н	ligh □	Extreme		Recommended inspection interval															
								ed □No □Yes-																	
Insp	ection lir	nitatio	<b>ns</b> □None [	□Visibili	ty □/	Access	□Vin	es □Root coll	ar b	urie	d D	escr	ibe												